

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/777,243	02/13/2004	Ken D. Woolfenden	22090.00	4488
7.	590 08/25/2005		EXAM	INER
Richard C. Litman LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD.			LE, HUNG CHARLIE	
P.O. Box 15035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22215			3725	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/25/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/777,243	WOOLFENDEN, KEN D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung C. Le	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 - 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2, 3, 6 - 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/13/2004.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/777,243

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komiya et al. (US 6,318,063 B1).

With respect to Claims 1 & 5:

Komiya et al. (US 6,318,063 B1) discloses: A cable drag chain including:
A rectangular body (Fig. 8), including a bottom panel (18), two opposing sides
(16 & 17), two open sides (Figs. 4, 6, 8) and a channel located between the two opposing sides (Figs. 4, 6, 8);

A lid (20) attached to the top of one of the opposing sides (Figs. 4, 6, 8) of the rectangular body (Fig. 8) with a hinge (24); and

A latch (26) attached to the top of the second of the opposing sides of the rectangular body (Fig. 8) and to the lid opposing from the hinge (24), whereby the

Art Unit: 3725

lid (20) bridges the channel and attaches to both opposing sides. (See Figs. 1 – 8)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komiya et al. (US 6,318,063 B1) in view of Blasé (US 6,612,104 B2).

With respect to Claim 4:

Komiya et al. (US 6,318,063 B1) discloses the claimed limitations except for a plurality of wheels.

Blasé (US 6,612,104 B2) teaches: An energy guiding chain (27) utilizing at least some of the chain links of an upper strand (28) and/or a lower strand (29) are provided with rollers (15) that can roll on running surfaces provided on the chain links of the opposite strand when the energy guiding chain (27) travels. (See

Application/Control Number: 10/777,243

Art Unit: 3725

Figs. 1 - 18)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Blasé with the cable drag chain designed by Komiya et al. for the purpose of providing by means of which supporting forces can be dissipated via the chain links in a favorable manner so that the chain links can be of light construction and higher supporting forces can be distributed.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 6 – 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is 571-272 -8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 08:00am - 05:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair -direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll -free).

HCL 08/19/05

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700